

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,687	09/30/2003	Katie Kuwata	63299/31260	6173
20000	7590 02/27/2007 IS & WEST I I P		EXAMINER	
TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST LLP 1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414			LAZARO, DAVID R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J 7 J 7	,		2155	
			····	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antion Occurrence	10/675,687	KUWATA ET AL.	KUWATA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Lazaro	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se					
	action is non-final.				
• •	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C	& 110(a) (d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 0.5.C.	3 113(a)-(u) or (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · ·	Stage		
application from the International Bureau	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ot received.			
	•		•		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/30/2003</u>. 	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/675,687 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are pending in this office action.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 09/30/2003 has been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings filed 09/30/2003 are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0009562 by Heymann et al. (Heymann).
- 6. With respect to claim 1, a system for tracking web-based sessions comprising:
 a browser disposed on an associated data terminal and having at least one
 browser window associated therewith, which browser is adapted for generating at least

one interactive session with an associated web server (Page 4 [0058]-[0060]: browser, Page 5 [0067]-[0073]: generation of session); and

at least one session tracking application associated with an interactive session (Page 5 [0073] javascript or javaApplet), which session tracking application includes monitoring means adapted for monitoring activity on the associated interactive session (Page 5 [0074]-[0076]: monitors for at least (1) and (2)),

testing means adapted for determining whether monitored activity includes an unload event (Page 5 [0074]-[0075]: detected through "onbefore unload", or "onunload"),

termination means adapted for terminating the associated interactive session upon a determination of a selected unload event (Page 5 [0078]-[0081]: unloading instruction (step 560) serves as termination means), and

notification means adapted for notifying the associated web server to close out the interactive session (Page 5 [0078]-[0081]: unloading instruction (step 560) notifies the server to close interactive session).

7. With respect to claim 13, Heymann teaches a method for tracking web-based sessions comprising the steps of:

generating at least one interactive session with an associated web server, wherein the interactive session is generated via a browser disposed on an associated data terminal and having at least one browser window associated therewith (Page 4 [0058]-[0060]: browser, Page 5 [0067]-[0073]: generation of session):

monitoring activity on the interactive session (Page 5 [0074]-[0076]: monitors for at least (1) and (2)),

determining whether monitored activity includes an unload event (Page 5 [0074]- [0075]: detected through "onbefore unload", or "onunload"),

terminating the interactive session upon a determination of a selected unload event (Page 5 [0078]-[0081]: unloading instruction (step 560) serves as termination means), and

notifying the associated web server to close out the interactive session (Page 5 [0078]-[0081]: unloading instruction (step 560) notifies the server to close interactive session).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2-12 and 14 -24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heymann in view of U.S. Patent 7,100,122 by Blaschke et al. (Blaschke).

10. With respect to claim 2, Heymann does not explicitly disclose wherein the testing means includes counting means adapted for determining the number of browser windows associated with the at least one interactive session.

Blaschke teaches counting means adapted for determining the number of browser windows associated with an interactive session (Col. 6 line 54 - Col. 7 line 13: browser depth parameter).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the system disclosed by Heymann and modify it as indicated by Blaschke such that it further comprises counting means adapted for determining the number of browser windows associated with an interactive session. One would be motivated to have this, as it is desirable to limit the number of browser windows for a given session (In Blaschke: Col. 2 lines 32-45).

- 11. With respect to claim 3, Heymann further teaches wherein the number of browser windows associated with at least one interactive session are stored in associated memory means (In Blaschke: Col. 6 lines 54-65).
- 12. With respect to claim 4, Heymann further teaches wherein upon generating an interactive session, the counting means associates session identifying information with the session, increments the number of browser windows associated with the interactive session by one, and stores the session identifying information and incremented number of browser windows associated with the interactive session in the memory means (In Heymann: Page 5 [0070] session ID; In Blaschke: Col. 6 line 54 Col. 7 line 13).

Application/Control Number: 10/675,687

Art Unit: 2155

13. With respect to claim 5, Heymann further teaches wherein the testing means includes means adapted for testing at least one of a browser refresh, browser close, migration from a selected web site, opening of an additional web site by a browser window associated with the interactive session, and session timeout (In Heymann: Page 5 [0074]-[0076]: (1) an unload would occur for a browser close, migration from a selected website and opening an additional web site).

Page 6

- 14. With respect to claim 7, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the testing means determines that the monitored activity is a browser close or a migration from a selected website (In Heymann: Page 5 [0074]-[0076]: unload occurs during a migration from a selected website), the counting means determines the number of browser windows associated with the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 6 line 54 Col. 7 line 13: based on logic of the rejection of claim 2).
- 15. With respect to claim 8, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the counting means determines that only one browser window is associated with the interactive session, the terminating means terminates the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 parameter can be set to allow only 1 window).
- 16. With respect to claim 9, Heymann further wherein in the event the counting means determines that at least two browser windows are associated with the interactive session, the terminating means does not terminate the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 parameter can be set to allow 2 windows).
- 17. With respect to claim 10, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the counting means determines that at least two browser windows are associated with the

Application/Control Number: 10/675,687

Art Unit: 2155

interactive session, the counting means decreases the number of browsers associated with the interactive session upon the browser close action or migration from a web site by one of the browser windows associated with the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 window count updated with respect to the set parameter).

- 18. With respect to claim 11, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the activity monitored is opening of an additional web site by a browser window associated with the interactive session, the counting means increases the number of browser windows associated with the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 window count updated with respect to the set parameter).
- 19. With respect to claim 12, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the activity monitored is a session timeout, the terminating mean terminates the interactive session (In Heymann: Page 1 [0008]).
- 20. With respect to claim 14, Heymann does not explicitly disclose determining the number of browser windows associated with the at least one interactive session.

Blaschke teaches counting means adapted for determining the number of browser windows associated with an interactive session (Col. 6 line 54 - Col. 7 line 13: browser depth parameter).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the method disclosed by Heymann and modify it as indicated by Blaschke such that it further comprises determining the number of browser windows associated with an interactive session. One would be motivated to have this,

as it is desirable to limit the number of browser windows for a given session (In Blaschke: Col. 2 lines 32-45).

- 21. With respect to claim 15, Heymann further teaches wherein the number of browser windows associated with at least one interactive session are stored in associated memory means (In Blaschke: Col. 6 lines 54-65).
- 22. With respect to claim 16, Heymann further teaches wherein upon generating an interactive session, associating session identifying information with the session (In Heymann: Page 5 [0070] session ID), incrementing the number of browser windows associated with the interactive session by one, and storing the session identifying information and incremented number of browser windows associated with the interactive session in the memory means (In Blaschke: Col. 6 line 54 Col. 7 line 13).
- 23. With respect to claim 17, Heymann further teaches wherein the determining the monitored activity includes determining whether the activity is at least one of a browser refresh, browser close, migration from a selected web site, opening of an additional web site by a browser window associated with the interactive session, and session timeout (In Heymann: Page 5 [0074]-[0076]: (1) an unload would occur for a browser close, migration from a selected website and opening an additional web site).
- 24. With respect to claim 19, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event that the monitored activity is a browser close or a migration from a selected website (In Heymann: Page 5 [0074]-[0076]: unload occurs during a migration from a selected website), the determining the number of browser windows associated with the

interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 6 line 54 - Col. 7 line 13: based on logic of the rejection of claim 2).

- 25. With respect to claim 20, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event that only one browser window is associated with the interactive session, the interactive session is terminated (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 parameter can be set to allow only 1 window).
- 26. With respect to claim 21, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event that at least two browser windows are associated with the interactive session, the interactive session is not terminated (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 parameter can be set to allow 2 windows).
- 27. With respect to claim 22, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event that at least two browser windows are associated with the interactive session, decreasing the number of browsers associated with the interactive session upon the browser close action or migration from a web site by one of the browser windows associated with the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 window count updated with respect to the set parameter).
- 28. With respect to claim 23, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the activity monitored is opening of an additional web site by a browser window associated with the interactive session, increasing the number of browser windows associated with the interactive session (In Blaschke: Col. 7 lines 1-30 window count updated with respect to the set parameter).

29. With respect to claim 24, Heymann further teaches wherein in the event the activity monitored is a session timeout, the interactive session is terminated (In Heymann: Page 1 [0008]).

- 30. Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heymann in view of U.S. Patent 6,963,908 by Lynch et al. (Lynch).
- 31. With respect to claim 6, Heymann does not explicitly disclose wherein in the event the testing means determines that the monitored activity is a browser refresh, the termination means does not terminate the associated interactive session.

Lynch teaches a session management system that does not terminate a valid session upon determining a browser refresh (Col. 19 lines 42-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the system disclosed by Heymann and modify it as indicated by Lynch such that the system further comprises wherein in the event the testing means determines that the monitored activity is a browser refresh, the termination means does not terminate the associated interactive session. One would be motivated to have this, as this prevents the session from prematurely closing, thus improving communications between the client and the server (In Heymann: Page 1 [0010]).

32. With respect to claim 18, Heymann does not explicitly disclose wherein in the event the monitored activity is a browser refresh, the termination means does not terminate the associated interactive session.

Lynch teaches a session management system that does not terminate a valid session upon determining a browser refresh (Col. 19 lines 42-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the method disclosed by Heymann and modify it as indicated by Lynch such that the system further comprises wherein in the event that the monitored activity is a browser refresh, the termination means does not terminate the associated interactive session. One would be motivated to have this, as this prevents the session from prematurely closing, thus improving communications between the client and the server (In Heymann: Page 1 [0010]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lazaro whose telephone number is 571-272-3986. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,687

Art Unit: 2155

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Lazaro

February 15, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER